

## LANDFORD PARISH COUNCIL - PLANNING SCHEDULE

APP. NO. DATE RECEIVED	ADDRESS & APPLICATION DETAIL	COUNCIL DECISION AND REASONS	DATE SENT AND WC/NPA DECISION
NFNPA 16/00996 30.11.2016	5 Brookside, Landford SP5 2AD	Decision: 2 – Brookside contains pairs of semi-detached houses built at the same time with a similar design and construction, including being roofed with brown concrete tiles. They form a unit with its own character. The proposal to roof the proposed extension with grey slate tiles is totally out of character with the existing building and its neighbours. Whereas there may be building reasons why the shallow sloping roof to the rear of the extension may need slates rather than concrete tiles, the front portion has sufficient pitch to be covered with brown concrete tiles that match the main building and neighbouring houses.	Sent: 14.12.2016  Decided: 23.1.2017 Grant subject to Conditions.
16/12461/FUL	Unit 1, Landford Manor, Stock Lane, Landford. SP5 2EW Erection of garden shed. Retrospective planning sought.	Decision: No objection.	Sent: 10.1.2017 Decided: Approve with conditions. 14.2.2017
NPA 17/00009-  19.1.2017	Foxdale, Lyndhurst Road. Landford. SP5 2AP  Proposed 2 storey rear extension and double garage.	Decision: 4. There is much we agree with in this proposed alteration to Foxdale. The architect has paid attention to the Landford VDS with regard a sympathetic attempt to restore the older part of the cottage to its original frontage, the use of traditional materials and general appearance. The replacement of the concrete tiles with grey slates and current upvc windows with wooden frame sash windows are a case in point and only to be applauded. Objections: Cottage extension: i.) From the plan drawings, the existing T-shape extension to the old cottage is to be replaced by a new in-line extension. Consequently, according to the plans, the end walls will be in line with each other. The use of metric instead of imperial bricks, different colour and thickness of mortar will have a visual impact when viewed from neighbouring properties. The need to key the new wall into the existing brickwork will create something that that looks as if the walls have been zipped together. This detracts from the whole appearance of the cottage.  ii.) This problem can be reduced by stepping the extension end walls back from the old end wall by a small distance so that the extension walls key into the existing rear wall of the cottage at right-angles. Double garage i.) Although no objection to building a double garage to the rear of the property, this	Sent: 9.2.2017

## LANDFORD PARISH COUNCIL - PLANNING SCHEDULE

APP. NO. DATE RECEIVED	ADDRESS & APPLICATION DETAIL	COUNCIL DECISION AND REASONS	DATE SENT AND WC/NPA DECISION
NPA 17/00009-  19.1.2017	Foxdale, Lyndhurst Road. Landford. SP5 2AP  Proposed 2 storey rear extension and double garage.	proposal with an office space above is far too large. The proposed roof ridge is 5.5m. above ground level, which is almost as high as the roof ridge of the extension at 6.0m. This is totally out of proportion to the main dwelling. As it says in the VDS.,  'ridge heights should be kept as low as possible, particularly double garages, to avoid the building appearing too dominant'. Guideline 7.7.3. ii.) The National Park Design Guide states that two storey outbuildings can impact badly on boundaries and neighbourliness. Outbuildings should be incidental and subservient to the dwelling in scale and appearance. This proposal does not concur with that guideline. iii.) The two storey building allowing for office space above the garage area incorporates toilet/washing facilities. Is this going to be used for business purposes?  If planning permission is granted then conditions should be imposed limiting the use of space to garaging and storage.	
NPA 17/00002  26.1.2017	<b>Landford School House, Landford. SP5 2SF Erection of garden shed.</b>	Decision: 1	Sent: 9.2.2017